

162

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR  
CHHATTISGARH**

**M.C.C. No. 185 /2006**

**Single Bench**

**IN F.A. NO. 547 /1996**

**APPELLANT**

P.R. No. 926/06  
Presented by Shri. Namdeo Kedarman  
dated. 14.1.2006

Vijay Kumar Namdeo S/o  
Lalji Namdeo aged about 36  
years, Resident of Near  
Rojgar office Budhar at  
Present resident of Kota  
District- Bilaspur (C.G.)

**VERSUS**

**RESPONDENTS**

1. State Bank of India, a  
banking Company  
constituted under the State  
Bank of India, Act 1955  
through its Branch  
Manager, Branch Belgahma  
tahsil Kota District Bilaspur  
(C.G.)
2. Block Development Officer  
Block Development Office,  
Kota District Bilaspur (C.G.)

**APPLICATION UNDER ORDER 41 RULE 19 OF THE CIVIL  
PROCEDURE CODE FOR RE-ADMISSION/RESTORATION OF  
THE CASE.**

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक

MCC 185/

सन् 2006

विरुद्ध

10

| आदेश का<br>दिनांक आदेश<br>क्रमांक सहित | आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार<br>के अन्तिम आदेश |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|
| <p>6</p>                               | <p><u>20.04.2006</u></p> <p>Shri Hemant Kesharwani, counsel for the applicant.</p> <p>None for the respondent.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant submits that on 28.02.2006, no one appeared on behalf of the respondent. Therefore, this petition may be disposed of without notice to respondents.</p> <p>Considered. Allowed.</p> <p>Heard.</p> <p>I am satisfied with the fact that applicant was prevented by sufficient cause to appear on 28.02.2006, when the case was called-out for hearing, hence, M.C.C.No.185/2006 is allowed and First Appeal No.547/1996 that was dismissed on 28.02.2006 for want of appearance of the applicant is restored to its original number.</p> <p>A copy of this order be kept in First Appeal No.547/1996.</p> | <p>Sd/-<br/>V.K.Shrivastava<br/>Judge</p>                 |

padma